0 in fahrenheit

AI generated article from Bing

---

factorial - Why does 0! = 1? - Mathematics Stack Exchange

Why does 0! = 1 0! = 1? All I know of factorial is that x! x! is equal to the product of all the numbers that come before it. The product of 0 and anything is 0 0, and seems like it would be reasonable to assume that 0! = 0 0! = 0. I'm perplexed as to why I have to account for this condition in my factorial function (Trying to learn Haskell ...

Is 0 a natural number? - Mathematics Stack Exchange

Is there a consensus in the mathematical community, or some accepted authority, to determine whether zero should be classified as a natural number? It seems as though formerly 0 was considered i...

Why does 0.00 have zero significant figures and why throw out the ...

A value of "0" doesn't tell the reader that we actually do know that the value is < 0.1. Would we not want to report it as 0.00? And if so, why wouldn't we also say that it has 2 significant figures? In other words, saying something has zero significant figures seems to throw out valuable information. What is the downside of handling 0 as an ...

definition - Why is $x^0 = 1$ except when $x = 0$? - Mathematics Stack ...

For example, 0x = 0 0 x = 0 and x0 = 1 x 0 = 1 for all positive x x, and 00 0 0 can't be consistent with both of these. Another way to see that 00 0 0 can't have a reasonable definition is to look at the graph of f(x, y) =xy f (x, y) = x y which is discontinuous around (0, 0) (0, 0). No chosen value for 00 0 0 will avoid this discontinuity.

complex analysis - What is $0^ {i}$? - Mathematics Stack Exchange

0i = 0 0 i = 0 is a good choice, and maybe the only choice that makes concrete sense, since it follows the convention 0x = 0 0 x = 0. On the other hand, 0−1 = 0 0 1 = 0 is clearly false (well, almost —see the discussion on goblin's answer), and 00 = 0 0 0 = 0 is questionable, so this convention could be unwise when x x is not a positive real.

algebra precalculus - Zero to the zero power – is $0^0=1 ...

The argument seems to hinge on whether one is to define 0^0=1 and economize several definitions and theorems from algebra, combinatorics, and analysis, at the expense of one caveat for a single function, OR to leave 0^0 undefined, have several caveats so as to preserve the continuity on the domain of definition of a single function, namely x^y.

Justifying why 0/0 is indeterminate and 1/0 is undefined

0 0 = x 0 0 = x 0x = 0 0 x = 0 x x can be any value, therefore 0 0 0 0 can be any value, and is indeterminate. 1 0 = x 1 0 = x 0x = 1 0 x = 1 There is no such x x that satisfies the above, therefore 1 0 1 0 is undefined. Is this a reasonable or naive thought process? It seems too simple to be true.

I have learned that 1/0 is infinity, why isn't it minus infinity?

93 The other comments are correct: 1 0 1 0 is undefined. Similarly, the limit of 1 x 1 x as x x approaches 0 0 is also undefined. However, if you take the limit of 1 x 1 x as x x approaches zero from the left or from the right, you get negative and positive infinity respectively.

What exactly is a 0-form? - Mathematics Stack Exchange

A 0 0 -form on a vector space is just a scalar. A 0 0 -form on a manifold is a function (i.e. it assigns a scalar to each tangent space of the manifold).

What is the value of i^0? - Mathematics Stack Exchange

5 We have x0:= 1 x 0:= 1 for every complex number x x. (Notice that this is the only convention which fits into the rules of arithmetic, and there is no need to exclude x = 0 x = 0. Think about the binomial theorem, for instance.) By the way, your exercise ∑1000 n=0 in ∑ n = 0 1000 i n can be solved with the usual formula for geometric series.